

"(2) The limitation contained in paragraph (1) shall apply only to any order or settlement which—

"(A) expressly directs any State, or political subdivision of a State, to impose, increase, levy, or assess any tax or disburse any funds to remedy the deprivation of a right under the Constitution; or

"(B) will necessarily require a State, or political subdivision of a State, to impose, increase, levy, or assess any tax or disburse any funds to remedy the deprivation of a right under the Constitution.

"(3) If the court finds that the conditions set forth in paragraph (1) have been satisfied, it shall enter an order incorporating that finding, and that order shall be subject to immediate interlocutory de novo review.

Page 10, line 7, insert after "tax," the following: "and any person or entity that is a resident of the State or political subdivision that would be required to disburse funds under paragraph (1) shall have the right to intervene in any proceeding concerning such disbursement."

Page 10, line 16, insert ", or disburse the funds," after "tax".

Page 10, line 21, insert ", or the disbursement of funds," after "tax".

Page 10, line 25, insert "or the disbursement of funds, as the case may be" after "tax".

Page 11, line 10, insert ", or a disbursement of funds that is made," after "imposed".

It was decided in the { Yeas 174
negative Nays 236

33.17

[Roll No. 108]

AYES—174

Aderholt	Fowler	Myrick
Archer	Gallegly	Nethercutt
Armey	Gekas	Neumann
Bachus	Gibbons	Northup
Baker	Gillmor	Norwood
Ballenger	Goode	Nussle
Barr	Goodlatte	Packard
Barrett (NE)	Goodling	Parker
Bartlett	Goss	Paul
Barton	Graham	Pease
Bereuter	Granger	Peterson (MN)
Bilirakis	Hall (TX)	Peterson (PA)
Bliley	Hansen	Pickering
Blunt	Hastert	Pickett
Boehner	Hastings (WA)	Pitts
Bonilla	Hayworth	Pombo
Bono	Hefley	Portman
Brady	Hefner	Radanovich
Bryant	Herger	Redmond
Bunning	Hill	Riley
Burr	Hilleary	Rogan
Burton	Hoekstra	Rogers
Callahan	Hostettler	Rohrabacher
Calvert	Hulshof	Royce
Canady	Hunter	Ryun
Cannon	Hutchinson	Salmon
Chabot	Hyde	Sanford
Chambliss	Inglis	Scarborough
Chenoweth	Jenkins	Schaefer, Dan
Christensen	Johnson, Sam	Schaffer, Bob
Coburn	Jones	Sensenbrenner
Collins	Kasich	Sessions
Combest	Kim	Shadegg
Condit	King (NY)	Shaw
Cook	Kingston	Shimkus
Cooksey	Knollenberg	Shuster
Cramer	Kolbe	Sisisky
Crane	Largent	Skeen
Crapo	Latham	Smith (MI)
Cubin	Lewis (CA)	Smith (OR)
Cunningham	Lewis (KY)	Smith (TX)
Danner	Linder	Smith, Linda
Deal	Livingston	Snowbarger
DeLay	Lucas	Solomon
Dickey	Manzullo	Spence
Doolittle	McCollum	Stearns
Dreier	McCrery	Stenholm
Duncan	McHugh	Stump
Dunn	McInnis	Talent
Ehrlich	McIntosh	Tauzin
Emerson	McIntyre	Taylor (NC)
Ensign	McKeon	Thomas
Everett	Metcalf	Thornberry
Foley	Mica	Thune
Fossella	Moran (KS)	Tiahrt

Traficant
Wamp
Watkins

Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Wicker

Wolf
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

NOES—236

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Allen
Andrews
Baesler
Baldacci
Barcia
Barrett (WI)
Bass
Becerra
Bentsen
Berman
Berry
Bilbray
Bishop
Blagojevich
Blumenauer
Boehlert
Bonior
Borski
Boswell
Boucher
Boyd
Brown (CA)
Brown (FL)
Brown (OH)
Campbell
Capps
Cardin
Carson
Castle
Clayton
Clement
Clyburn
Conyers
Costello
Coyne
Cummings
Davis (FL)
Davis (VA)
DeFazio
DeGette
Delahunt
DeLauro
Deutsch
Diaz-Balart
Dicks
Dingell
Doggett
Dooley
Doyle
Edwards
Ehlers
Engel
English
Eshoo
Etheridge
Evans
Ewings
Farr
Fawell
Fazio
Filner
Forbes
Ford
Frank (MA)
Franks (NJ)
Frelinghuysen
Frost
Furse
Ganske
Gejdenson
Gephardt
Gilchrist
Gilman
Gordon
Green
Greenwood
Gutierrez
Gutknecht

Hall (OH)
Hamilton
Harman
Hilliard
Hinchey
Hobson
Holden
Hooley
Horn
Houghton
Hoyer
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee
(TX)
Jefferson
John
Johnson (CT)
Johnson (WI)
Johnson, E. B.
Kanjorski
Kelly
Kennedy (MA)
Kennedy (RI)
Kennelly
Kildee
Kilpatrick
Kind (WI)
Klecza
Klink
Klug
Kucinich
LaFalce
LaHood
Lampson
Lantos
LaTourette
Lazio
Leach
Lee
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lipinski
LoBiondo
Lofgren
Lowey
Luther
Maloney (CT)
Maloney (NY)
Manton
Markley
Martinez
Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy (MO)
McCarthy (NY)
McDade
McDermott
McGovern
McHale
McKinney
McNulty
Meehan
Meeks (NY)
Menendez
Millender
McDonald
Miller (CA)
Minge
Mink
Moakley
Mollohan
Moran (VA)
Morella
Murtha
Nadler
Neal
Ney
Oberstar
Obey
Olver

Ortiz
Owens
Oxley
Pallone
Pappas
Pascarell
Pastor
Payne
Pelosi
Petri
Pomeroy
Porter
Price (NC)
Pryce (OH)
Quinn
Rahall
Ramstad
Rangel
Regula
Reyes
Rivers
Rodriguez
Roemer
Ros-Lehtinen
Rothman
Roukema
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Sabo
Sanchez
Sanders
Sandlin
Sawyer
Saxton
Schumer
Scott
Serrano
Shays
Sherman
Skaggs
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (NJ)
Smith, Adam
Snyder
Spratt
Stabenow
Stark
Stokes
Strickland
Stupak
Sununu
Tauscher
Taylor (MS)
Thompson
Thurman
Tierney
Torres
Towns
Turner
Upton
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Walsh
Waters
Watt (NC)
Waxman
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Wexler
Weygand
White
Whitfield
Wise
Woolsey
Wynn
Yates

NOT VOTING—22

Bateman
Buyer
Camp
Clay
Coble
Cox
Davis (IL)
Dixon
Fattah
Fox
Gonzalez
Hastings (FL)
Hinojosa
Istook
Kaptur
Meek (FL)
Miller (FL)
Paxon
Poshard
Riggs
Souder
Tanner

So the amendment was not agreed to.
After some further time,
The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr.
SNOWBARGER, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. ROGERS, Acting Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 408, reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

The following amendment, reported from the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, was agreed to:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Judicial Reform Act of 1998".

SEC. 2. 3-JUDGE COURT FOR ANTICIPATORY RELIEF.

(a) REQUIREMENT OF 3-JUDGE COURT.—Any application for anticipatory relief against the enforcement, operation, or execution of a State law adopted by referendum shall not be granted by a United States district court or judge thereof upon the ground that the State law is repugnant to the Constitution, treaties, or laws of the United States unless the application for anticipatory relief is heard and determined by a court of 3 judges in accordance with section 2284 of title 28, United States Code. Any appeal of a determination on such application shall be to the Supreme Court. In any case to which this section applies, the additional judges who will serve on the 3-judge court shall be designated under section 2284(b)(1) of title 28, United States Code, as soon as practicable, and the court shall expedite the consideration of the application for anticipatory relief.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—
(1) the term "State" means each of the several States and the District of Columbia;

(2) the term "State law" means the constitution of a State, or any statute, rule, regulation, or other measure of a State that has the force of law, and any amendment thereto;

(3) the term "referendum" means the submission to popular vote, by the voters of the State, of a measure passed upon or proposed by a legislative body or by popular initiative; and

(4) the term "anticipatory relief" means an interlocutory or permanent injunction or a declaratory judgment.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section applies to any application for anticipatory relief that is filed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3. INTERLOCUTORY APPEALS OF COURT ORDERS RELATING TO CLASS ACTIONS.

(a) INTERLOCUTORY APPEALS.—Section 1292(b) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)"; and
(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) A party to an action in which the district court has made a determination of whether the action may be maintained as a class action may make application for appeal of that determination to the court of appeals which would have jurisdiction of an appeal of that action. The court of appeals may, in its discretion, permit the appeal to be taken from such determination if the application is made within 10 days after the entry of the court's determination relating to the class action. Application for an appeal under this paragraph shall not stay proceedings in the district court unless the district judge or the court of appeals or a judge thereof shall so order."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) applies to any action commenced on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 4. PROCEEDINGS ON COMPLAINTS AGAINST JUDICIAL CONDUCT.

(a) REFERRAL OF PROCEEDINGS TO ANOTHER JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OR COURT.—Section 372(c) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1) by adding at the end the following: “In the case of a complaint so identified, the chief judge shall notify the clerk of the court of appeals of the complaint, together with a brief statement of the facts underlying the complaint.”;

(2) in paragraph (2) in the second sentence by inserting “or statement of facts underlying the complaint (as the case may be)” after “copy of the complaint”;

(3) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by inserting “(A)” after “(3)”;

(B) by striking “may—” and all that follows through the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting the following: “may dismiss the complaint if the chief judge finds it to be—

“(i) not in conformity with paragraph (1);

“(ii) directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling; or

“(iii) frivolous.”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) If the chief judge does not enter an order under subparagraph (A), then the complaint or (in the case of a complaint identified under paragraph (1)) the statement of facts underlying the complaint shall be referred to the chief judge of another judicial circuit for proceedings under this subsection (hereafter in this subsection referred to as the ‘chief judge’), in accordance with a system established by rule by the Judicial Conference, which prescribes the circuits to which the complaints will be referred. The Judicial Conference shall establish and submit to the Congress the system described in the preceding sentence not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Judicial Reform Act of 1998.

“(C) After expeditiously reviewing the complaint, the chief judge may, by written order explaining the chief judge’s reasons, conclude the proceeding if the chief judge finds that appropriate corrective action has been taken or that action on the complaint is no longer necessary because of intervening events.”;

(4) in paragraph (4)—

(A) by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (3)(C)”; and

(B) in subparagraph (A) by inserting “(to which the complaint or statement of facts underlying the complaint is referred)” after “the circuit”;

(5) in paragraph (5)—

(A) in the first sentence by inserting “to which the complaint or statement of facts underlying the complaint is referred” after “the circuit”; and

(B) in the second sentence by striking “the circuit” and inserting “that circuit”;

(6) in the first sentence of paragraph (15) by inserting before the period at the end the following: “in which the complaint was filed or identified under paragraph (1)”; and

(7) by amending paragraph (18) to read as follows:

“(18) The Judicial Conference shall prescribe rules, consistent with the preceding provisions of this subsection—

“(A) establishing procedures for the filing of complaints with respect to the conduct of any judge of the United States Court of Federal Claims, the Court of International Trade, or the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and for the investigation and resolution of such complaints; and

“(B) establishing a system for referring complaints filed with respect to the conduct of a judge of any such court to any of the first eleven judicial circuits or to another court for investigation and resolution. The Judicial Conference shall establish and submit to the Congress the system described

in subparagraph (B) not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Judicial Reform Act of 1998.”.

(b) DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.—Section 372(c)(14) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B) by striking “or” after the semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (C) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding after subparagraph (C) the following:

“(D) such disclosure is made to another agency or instrumentality of any governmental jurisdiction within or under the control the United States for a civil or criminal law enforcement activity authorized by law.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) apply to complaints filed on or after the 180th day after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 5. RANDOM ASSIGNMENT OF HABEAS CORPUS CASES.

Section 2241 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) Applications for writs of habeas corpus received in or transferred to a district court shall be randomly assigned to the judges of that court.”.

SEC. 6. AUTHORITY OF PRESIDING JUDGE TO ALLOW MEDIA COVERAGE OF COURT PROCEEDINGS.

(a) AUTHORITY OF APPELLATE COURTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the presiding judge of an appellate court of the United States may, in his or her discretion, permit the photographing, electronic recording, broadcasting, or televising to the public of court proceedings over which that judge presides.

(b) AUTHORITY OF DISTRICT COURTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any presiding judge of a district court of the United States may, in his or her discretion, permit the photographing, electronic recording, broadcasting, or televising to the public of court proceedings over which that judge presides.

(2) OBSCURING OF WITNESSES.—(A) Upon the request of any witness in a trial proceeding other than a party, the court shall order the face and voice of the witness to be disguised or otherwise obscured in such manner as to render the witness unrecognizable to the broadcast audience of the trial proceeding.

(B) The presiding judge in a trial proceeding shall inform each witness who is not a party that the witness has the right to request that his or her image and voice be obscured during the witness’ testimony.

(c) ADVISORY GUIDELINES.—The Judicial Conference of the United States is authorized to promulgate advisory guidelines to which a presiding judge, in his or her discretion, may refer in making decisions with respect to the management and administration of photographing, recording, broadcasting, or televising described in subsections (a) and (b).

(d) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

(1) PRESIDING JUDGE.—The term “presiding judge” means the judge presiding over the court proceeding concerned. In proceedings in which more than one judge participates, the presiding judge shall be the senior active judge so participating or, in the case of a circuit court of appeals, the senior active circuit judge so participating, except that—

(A) in en banc sittings of any United States circuit court of appeals, the presiding judge shall be the chief judge of the circuit whenever the chief judge participates; and

(B) in en banc sittings of the Supreme Court of the United States, the presiding judge shall be the Chief Justice whenever the Chief Justice participates.

(2) APPELLATE COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.—The term “appellate court of the United States” means any United States circuit court of appeals and the Supreme Court of the United States.

(e) SUNSET.—The authority under subsection (b) shall terminate on the date that is 3 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 7. MULTIPARTY, MULTIFORUM JURISDICTION OF DISTRICT COURTS.

(a) BASIS OF JURISDICTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 85 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 1370. Multiparty, multiforum jurisdiction

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action involving minimal diversity between adverse parties that arises from a single accident, where at least 25 natural persons have either died or incurred injury in the accident at a discrete location and, in the case of injury, the injury has resulted in damages which exceed \$50,000 per person, exclusive of interest and costs, if—

“(1) a defendant resides in a State and a substantial part of the accident took place in another State or other location, regardless of whether that defendant is also a resident of the State where a substantial part of the accident took place;

“(2) any two defendants reside in different States, regardless of whether such defendants are also residents of the same State or States; or

“(3) substantial parts of the accident took place in different States.

“(b) SPECIAL RULES AND DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) minimal diversity exists between adverse parties if any party is a citizen of a State and any adverse party is a citizen of another State, a citizen or subject of a foreign state, or a foreign state as defined in section 1603(a) of this title;

“(2) a corporation is deemed to be a citizen of any State, and a citizen or subject of any foreign state, in which it is incorporated or has its principal place of business, and is deemed to be a resident of any State in which it is incorporated or licensed to do business or is doing business;

“(3) the term ‘injury’ means—

“(A) physical harm to a natural person; and

“(B) physical damage to or destruction of tangible property, but only if physical harm described in subparagraph (A) exists;

“(4) the term ‘accident’ means a sudden accident, or a natural event culminating in an accident, that results in death or injury incurred at a discrete location by at least 25 natural persons; and

“(5) the term ‘State’ includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and any territory or possession of the United States.

“(c) INTERVENING PARTIES.—In any action in a district court which is or could have been brought, in whole or in part, under this section, any person with a claim arising from the accident described in subsection (a) shall be permitted to intervene as a party plaintiff in the action, even if that person could not have brought an action in a district court as an original matter.

“(d) NOTIFICATION OF JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION.—A district court in which an action under this section is pending shall promptly notify the judicial panel on multidistrict litigation of the pendency of the action.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 85 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“1370. Multiparty, multiforum jurisdiction.”.

(b) VENUE.—Section 1391 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g) A civil action in which jurisdiction of the district court is based upon section 1370 of this title may be brought in any district in which any defendant resides or in which a substantial part of the accident giving rise to the action took place.”.

(c) MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION.—Section 1407 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i)(1) In actions transferred under this section when jurisdiction is or could have been based, in whole or in part, on section 1370 of this title, the transferee district court may, notwithstanding any other provision of this section, retain actions so transferred for the determination of liability and punitive damages. An action retained for the determination of liability shall be remanded to the district court from which the action was transferred, or to the State court from which the action was removed, for the determination of damages, other than punitive damages, unless the court finds, for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interest of justice, that the action should be retained for the determination of damages.

“(2) Any remand under paragraph (1) shall not be effective until 60 days after the transferee court has issued an order determining liability and has certified its intention to remand some or all of the transferred actions for the determination of damages. An appeal with respect to the liability determination and the choice of law determination of the transferee court may be taken during that 60-day period to the court of appeals with appellate jurisdiction over the transferee court. In the event a party files such an appeal, the remand shall not be effective until the appeal has been finally disposed of. Once the remand has become effective, the liability determination and the choice of law determination shall not be subject to further review by appeal or otherwise.

“(3) An appeal with respect to determination of punitive damages by the transferee court may be taken, during the 60-day period beginning on the date the order making the determination is issued, to the court of appeals with jurisdiction over the transferee court.

“(4) Any decision under this subsection concerning remand for the determination of damages shall not be reviewable by appeal or otherwise.

“(5) Nothing in this subsection shall restrict the authority of the transferee court to transfer or dismiss an action on the ground of inconvenient forum.”.

(d) REMOVAL OF ACTIONS.—Section 1441 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e) by striking “(e) The court to which such civil action is removed” and inserting “(f) The court to which a civil action is removed under this section”; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection:

“(e)(1) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (b) of this section, a defendant in a civil action in a State court may remove the action to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where the action is pending if—

“(A) the action could have been brought in a United States district court under section 1370 of this title; or

“(B) the defendant is a party to an action which is or could have been brought, in whole or in part, under section 1370 in a United States district court and arises from the same accident as the action in State court, even if the action to be removed could not have been brought in a district court as an original matter.

The removal of an action under this subsection shall be made in accordance with

section 1446 of this title, except that a notice of removal may also be filed before trial of the action in State court within 30 days after the date on which the defendant first becomes a party to an action under section 1370 in a United States district court that arises from the same accident as the action in State court, or at a later time with leave of the district court.

“(2) Whenever an action is removed under this subsection and the district court to which it is removed or transferred under section 1407(i) has made a liability determination requiring further proceedings as to damages, the district court shall remand the action to the State court from which it had been removed for the determination of damages, unless the court finds that, for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interest of justice, the action should be retained for the determination of damages.

“(3) Any remand under paragraph (2) shall not be effective until 60 days after the district court has issued an order determining liability and has certified its intention to remand the removed action for the determination of damages. An appeal with respect to the liability determination and the choice of law determination of the district court may be taken during that 60-day period to the court of appeals with appellate jurisdiction over the district court. In the event a party files such an appeal, the remand shall not be effective until the appeal has been finally disposed of. Once the remand has become effective, the liability determination and the choice of law determination shall not be subject to further review by appeal or otherwise.

“(4) Any decision under this subsection concerning remand for the determination of damages shall not be reviewable by appeal or otherwise.

“(5) An action removed under this subsection shall be deemed to be an action under section 1370 and an action in which jurisdiction is based on section 1368 of this title for purposes of this section and sections 1407, 1660, 1697, and 1785 of this title.

“(6) Nothing in this subsection shall restrict the authority of the district court to transfer or dismiss an action on the ground of inconvenient forum.”.

(e) CHOICE OF LAW.—

(1) DETERMINATION BY THE COURT.—Chapter 111 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§1660. Choice of law in multiparty, multiforum actions

“(a) FACTORS.—In an action which is or could have been brought, in whole or in part, under section 1370 of this title, the district court in which the action is brought or to which it is removed shall determine the source of the applicable substantive law, except that if an action is transferred to another district court, the transferee court shall determine the source of the applicable substantive law. In making this determination, a district court shall not be bound by the choice of law rules of any State, and the factors that the court may consider in choosing the applicable law include—

“(1) the place of the injury;

“(2) the place of the conduct causing the injury;

“(3) the principal places of business or domiciles of the parties;

“(4) the danger of creating unnecessary incentives for forum shopping; and

“(5) whether the choice of law would be reasonably foreseeable to the parties.

The factors set forth in paragraphs (1) through (5) shall be evaluated according to their relative importance with respect to the particular action. If good cause is shown in exceptional cases, including constitutional reasons, the court may allow the law of more

than one State to be applied with respect to a party, claim, or other element of an action.

“(b) ORDER DESIGNATING CHOICE OF LAW.—The district court making the determination under subsection (a) shall enter an order designating the single jurisdiction whose substantive law is to be applied in all other actions under section 1370 arising from the same accident as that giving rise to the action in which the determination is made. The substantive law of the designated jurisdiction shall be applied to the parties and claims in all such actions before the court, and to all other elements of each action, except where Federal law applies or the order specifically provides for the application of the law of another jurisdiction with respect to a party, claim, or other element of an action.

“(c) CONTINUATION OF CHOICE OF LAW AFTER REMAND.—In an action remanded to another district court or a State court under section 1407(i)(1) or 1441(e)(2) of this title, the district court’s choice of law under subsection (b) shall continue to apply.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 111 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“1660. Choice of law in multiparty, multiforum actions.”.

(f) SERVICE OF PROCESS.—

(1) OTHER THAN SUBPOENAS.—(A) Chapter 113 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§1697. Service in multiparty, multiforum actions

“When the jurisdiction of the district court is based in whole or in part upon section 1370 of this title, process, other than subpoenas, may be served at any place within the United States, or anywhere outside the United States if otherwise permitted by law.”.

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 113 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“1697. Service in multiparty, multiforum actions.”.

(2) SERVICE OF SUBPOENAS.—(A) Chapter 117 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§1785. Subpoenas in multiparty, multiforum actions

“When the jurisdiction of the district court is based in whole or in part upon section 1370 of this title, a subpoena for attendance at a hearing or trial may, if authorized by the court upon motion for good cause shown, and upon such terms and conditions as the court may impose, be served at any place within the United States, or anywhere outside the United States if otherwise permitted by law.”.

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 117 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“1785. Subpoenas in multiparty, multiforum actions.”.

(g) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to a civil action if the accident giving rise to the cause of action occurred on or after the 90th day after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 8. APPEALS OF MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD.

(a) APPEALS.—Section 7703 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “30” and inserting “60”; and

(2) in the first sentence of subsection (d), by inserting after “filing” the following: “,

within 60 days after the date the Director received notice of the final order or decision of the Board."

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and apply to any administrative or judicial proceeding pending on that date or commenced on or after that date.

SEC. 9. EXTENSION OF JUDICIARY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FUND.

Section 612 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking "equipment" each place it appears and inserting "resources";

(2) by striking subsection (f) and redesignating subsequent subsections accordingly;

(3) in subsection (g), as so redesignated, by striking paragraph (3); and

(4) in subsection (i), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking "Judiciary" each place it appears and inserting "judiciary";

(B) by striking "subparagraph (c)(1)(B)" and inserting "subsection (c)(1)(B)"; and

(C) by striking "under (c)(1)(B)" and inserting "under subsection (c)(1)(B)".

SEC. 10. OFFSETTING RECEIPTS.

For fiscal year 1999 and thereafter, any portion of miscellaneous fees collected as prescribed by the Judicial Conference of the United States pursuant to sections 1913, 1914(b), 1926(a), 1930(b), and 1932 of title 28, United States Code, exceeding the amount of such fees in effect on September 30, 1998, shall be deposited into the special fund of the Treasury established under section 1931 of title 28, United States Code.

SEC. 11. MEMBERSHIP IN CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCILS.

Section 332(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

"(1) The chief judge of each judicial circuit shall call and preside at a meeting of the judicial council of the circuit at least twice in each year and at such places as he or she may designate. The council shall consist of an equal number of circuit judges (including the chief judge of the circuit) and district judges, as such number is determined by majority vote of all such judges of the circuit in regular active service.";

(2) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

"(3) Except for the chief judge of the circuit, either judges in regular active service or judges retired from regular active service under section 371(b) of this title may serve as members of the council."; and

(3) by striking "retirement," in paragraph (5) and inserting "retirement under section 371(a) or section 372(a) of this title.".

SEC. 12. SUNSET OF CIVIL JUSTICE EXPENSE AND DELAY REDUCTION PLANS.

Section 103(b)(2)(A) of the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-650; 104 Stat. 5096; 28 U.S.C. 471 note), as amended by Public Law 105-53 (111 Stat. 1173), is amended by inserting "471," after "sections".

SEC. 13. CREATION OF CERTIFYING OFFICERS IN THE JUDICIAL BRANCH.

(a) **APPOINTMENT OF DISBURSING AND CERTIFYING OFFICERS.**—Chapter 41 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§ 613. Disbursing and certifying officers

"(a) **DISBURSING OFFICERS.**—The Director may designate in writing officers and employees of the judicial branch of the Government, including the courts as defined in section 610 other than the Supreme Court, to be disbursing officers in such numbers and locations as the Director considers necessary. Such disbursing officers shall—

"(1) disburse moneys appropriated to the judicial branch and other funds only in strict

accordance with payment requests certified by the Director or in accordance with subsection (b);

"(2) examine payment requests as necessary to ascertain whether they are in proper form, certified, and approved; and

"(3) be held accountable for their actions as provided by law, except that such a disbursing officer shall not be held accountable or responsible for any illegal, improper, or incorrect payment resulting from any false, inaccurate, or misleading certificate for which a certifying officer is responsible under subsection (b).

"(b) **CERTIFYING OFFICERS.**—(1) The Director may designate in writing officers and employees of the judicial branch of the Government, including the courts as defined in section 610 other than the Supreme Court, to certify payment requests payable from appropriations and funds. Such certifying officers shall be responsible and accountable for—

"(A) the existence and correctness of the facts recited in the certificate or other request for payment or its supporting papers;

"(B) the legality of the proposed payment under the appropriation or fund involved; and

"(C) the correctness of the computations of certified payment requests.

"(2) The liability of a certifying officer shall be enforced in the same manner and to the same extent as provided by law with respect to the enforcement of the liability of disbursing and other accountable officers. A certifying officer shall be required to make restitution to the United States for the amount of any illegal, improper, or incorrect payment resulting from any false, inaccurate, or misleading certificates made by the certifying officer, as well as for any payment prohibited by law or which did not represent a legal obligation under the appropriation or fund involved.

"(c) **RIGHTS.**—A certifying or disbursing officer—

"(1) has the right to apply for and obtain a decision by the Comptroller General on any question of law involved in a payment request presented for certification; and

"(2) is entitled to relief from liability arising under this section in accordance with title 31, United States Code.

"(d) **OTHER AUTHORITY NOT AFFECTED.**—Nothing in this section affects the authority of the courts with respect to moneys deposited with the courts under chapter 129 of this title."

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 41 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following item:

"613. Disbursing and certifying officers."

(c) **DUTIES OF DIRECTOR.**—Paragraph (8) of subsection (a) of section 604 of title 28, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(8) Disburse appropriations and other funds for the maintenance and operation of the courts;".

SEC. 14. LIMITATION ON PRISONER RELEASE ORDERS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 99 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§ 1632. Limitation on prisoner release orders

"(a) **LIMITATION.**—Notwithstanding section 3626(a)(3) of title 18 or any other provision of law, in a civil action with respect to prison conditions, no court of the United States or other court listed in section 610 shall have jurisdiction to enter or carry out any prisoner release order that would result in the release from or nonadmission to a prison, on the basis of prison conditions, of any person subject to incarceration, detention, or ad-

mission to a facility because of a conviction of a felony under the laws of the relevant jurisdiction, or a violation of the terms or conditions of parole, probation, pretrial release, or a diversionary program, relating to the commission of a felony under the laws of the relevant jurisdiction.

"(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—As used in this section—

"(1) the terms 'civil action with respect to prison conditions', 'prisoner', 'prisoner release order', and 'prison' have the meanings given those terms in section 3626(g) of title 18; and

"(2) the term 'prison conditions' means conditions of confinement or the effects of actions by government officials on the lives of persons confined in prison.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 99 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"1632. Limitation on prisoner release orders."

(c) **CONSENT DECREES.**—

(1) **TERMINATION OF EXISTING CONSENT DECREES.**—Any consent decree that was entered into before the date of the enactment of the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that is in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, and that provides for remedies relating to prison conditions shall cease to be effective on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **DEFINITIONS.**—As used in this subsection—

(A) the term "consent decree" has the meaning given that term in section 3626(g) of title 18, United States Code; and

(B) the term "prison conditions" has the meaning given that term in section 1632(c) of title 28, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section.

The bill, as amended, was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read a third time by title.

The question being put, *viva voce*,

Will the House pass said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. SNOWBARGER, announced that the yeas had it.

So the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said bill was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

§33.18 CLERK TO CORRECT ENGROSSMENT

On motion of Mr. CANADY, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That in the engrossment of the foregoing bill the Clerk be authorized to correct section numbers, cross references, and punctuation, and to make such stylistic, clerical, technical, conforming, and other changes as may be necessary to reflect the actions of the House in amending the bill.

§33.19 EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS

On motion of Mr. LIVINGSTON, by unanimous consent, the bill (H.R. 3579) making emergency supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes; together with the amendment of the Senate thereto, was taken from the Speaker's table.

When on motion of Mr. LIVINGSTON, it was,

Resolved, That the House disagree to the amendment of the Senate and